# CRITICISM TOWARDS SHAHRŪR'S CONCEPT OF THE PROPHET'S SUNNAH

Fahmi Ali Syaifuddin Rizal Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Ampel Surabaya, Indonesia E-mail: fahmialisyaifuddinrizal07@gmail.com

**Abstract**: This article deals with the concept of Sunnah proposed by Muhammad Shahrūr and offers a criticism towards his tendency to the denial of the Prophet's Sunnah (inkar al-Sunnah). Shahrūr rejects the Hadīth and Sunnah as the second source of Islamic law, by reformulating and redefining the concept of Hadith and Sunnah. Shahrūr distinguished between Hadīth and Sunnah. According to him, the Hadith is the Qur'an itself, and the Sunnah has been the practical application (*ijtihad*) of the Prophet to implement what was revealed to him. Shahrūr's rejection and denial of Hadīth and Sunnah are based on the argument that all Hadiths were filled with the story of Isra'iliyyat. The tendency towards inkar al-Sunnah represented by Shahrūr is not a completely new idea, since this position was also conceived by several previous figures. However, despite the fact that Shahrūr's inkār al-Sunnah as argued on the basis of new approach to Hadīth studies, this article reveals that Shahrūr's understanding of, and his tendency to deny, Hadiths or Sunnah reflect his opposition against orthodox views on the Prophet's Sunnah.

Keywords: Shaḥrūr; Ḥadīth; Sunnah; inkār al-Sunnah.

#### Introduction

Hadīth or Sunnah (the Prophet's Tradition) is the second source of Islamic law after the Qur'ān, and serves as the explanation of every things mentioned globally in the latter.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Abd al-Wahhāb Khalāf, *Ilm Uṣūl al-Fiqh* (Mesir: Maktabat al-Da'wah, n.d.), 36-38.

Scholars often state that the Qur'ān needs Ḥadīth,<sup>2</sup> as there are many general laws mentioned in the Qur'ān which require detailed explanation from Ḥadīth.<sup>3</sup>

The problem of understanding the concept of the Hadīth is a very important to raise, and has been discussed extensively by scholars as found in various Hadīth literature. However, it would be quite interesting to discuss the perspective of Shaḥrūr, who does not have a coherent religious education background, but instead a professor and expert in the field of geology of Civil Engineering.

Shaḥrūr has proposed a new concept of Ḥadīth and Sunnah, and has regarded them as being not the second source of law in Islam. His critical point of view with a strong grammatical analysis leads him to believe that there are no synonymous words ('adam altarāduf) in Arabic. His distinctive thought on the issue as presented in his scholarly works is worthy to be read and reviewed critically. Shaḥrūr has deconstructed an understanding of the Ḥadīth and Sunnah which has been rooted in the Muslim minds for many centuries. In his view, what was formulated by early (salaf) scholars in the past has been a mistake and not relevant for this time.

Based on the background above, this article seeks to investigate the concept of Sunnah (*al-Sunnah*) according to Shaḥrūr. It will further explore Shaḥrūr's work, as Shaḥrūr is remembered for his concept of Sunnah. This study reveals Shaḥrūr's reasons and arguments about Sunnah which includes such definitions as that the Ḥadīth is the Qur'ān, the Sunnah (Ḥadīth) is the words and stories of the Banī Isrā'īl (*Isrā'īliyyāt*), and is the result of the Prophet Muḥammad's intellectual endeavor (*ijtihād*). Therefore, the Ḥadīth is tentative and changing according to the time, and it is possible to be wrong. The focal point of the examination lies in the reasons and contentions in dismissing the Sunnah. In this context, this article argues that the reasons

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Makhūl berkata: "Qur'ān needs Sunnah more than Sunnah to the Qur'ān." See al-Khātīb al-Baghdādī, *al-Kifāyah fī Ilm al-Riwāyah* (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmīyah, 2006), 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mannā' b. Khalīl al-Qaṭṭān, *Mabāḥith fī ʿUlūm al-Qu'rān* (Beirut: Maktabat al-Ma'ārif, 1421/2000), 361; Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh Abū Bakr b. al-'Arabī, *Aḥkām al-Qu'rān*, Vol. 2 (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmīyah, 1424/2003), 52.

advocated by Shaḥrūr on the concept of Sunnah are problematic, and even not valid, because Shaḥrūr has manipulated the Ḥadīth as an argument, even misunderstood and misinterpreted the language and words of the Qur'ānic verses. In this regard, Shaḥrūr has developed a tendency towards denying the Prophet's tradition (*inkār al-Sunnab*) which will be also critically assessed.

# Biography of Muhammad Shahrūr

Muḥammad Shaḥrūr b. Da'b is the full name of Shaḥrūr, or better known as Shaḥrūr. He was born in the city of Damascus, Syria on April 11, 1938.<sup>4</sup> Shaḥrūr started his academic education in elementary level at the educational institution 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Kawākibī, Damascus. His secondary education was completed in 1957.<sup>5</sup> Shaḥrūr then continued his studies in Civil Engineering (*handasah madanīyah*) in Moscow, USSR (now Russia) with a scholarship from the Syrian Government. The Diploma level was taken for five years starting from 1959,<sup>6</sup> until reaching a Diploma degree in 1964.<sup>7</sup> In 1968, Shaḥrūr took the Master and Doctoral Program in the field of land and geology at Ireland University. Shaḥrūr obtained his Master of Science degree in 1969 and his Doctoral degree in 1972.<sup>8</sup>

Shaḥrūr is getting interested in Islamic studies, especially since he was in Dublin, Ireland (1970-1980). From then on, Shaḥrūr has begun to study the Qur'ān more seriously with theories and approaches such as linguistic, philosophy, and modern science.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ahmad Syarqawi Ismail, Rekonstruksi Konsep Wahyu Muhammad Syahrur (Yogyakarta: eLSAQ Press, 2003), 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Muhammad Shahrūr, *Epistimologi Qur'ani: Tafsir Kontemporer Ayat-ayat al-Qur'an Berbasis Materialisme-Dialektika-Historis*, translated by M. Firdaus (Bandung: Penerbit Marja, 2015), 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Muhammad Shahrūr, *Islam dan Iman: Aturan-aturan Pokok*, translated by M. Zaid Su'di (Yogyakarta: Penerbit Jendela, 2002), xiii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Muhammad Munīr al-Ṣawwāf, *Tahāfut al-Qirā'ah Muʿāṣirah* (Limmasol-Cyprus: al-Shawwāf li al-Nashr wa al-Dirāsāt, 1993), 29-35; Zainal Abidin, *Rethinking Islam dan Iman* (Banjarmasin: IAIN Antasari Press, n.d.), 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Abdul Mustaqim, *Epistemologi Tafsir Kontemporer* (Yogyakarta: LKiS, 2010), 94; M. Awnul Abid Shah, *Islam Garda Depan; Mozaik Pemikiran Islam Timur Tengah* (Bandung: Mizan 2001), 237.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Peter Clark, "The Shahrur Phenomenon; A Liberal Islamic Voice from Syria," *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations*, Vol. 7. No. 3 (1996), 341.

He studied Philosophy of Humanism, Philosophy of Language, especially contemporary linguistics and Semantics of Arabic Language.<sup>10</sup> Shaḥrūr's controversial thinking cannot be separated from the influence of previous linguistic figures, such as Ibn Fāris, Yaḥyā b. Tha'lab, Abū 'Alī al-Fārisī, Ibn Jinnī, 'Abd al-Qāhir al-Jurjānī, and Ja'far Dakk al-Bāb.<sup>11</sup>

Shaḥrūr's works on Islamic studies, particularly related to the Qur'ānic and Ḥadīth studies, include among others: *al-Kitāb wa al-Qur'ān: Qirā'ah Mu'āṣirah* (1990), Dirāsah Islāmīyah Mu'āṣirah fī al-Dawlah wa al-Mujtama' (1994), al-Islām wa al-Īmān: Manṣūmat al-Qiyām (1996), Naḥw Uṣūl Jadīdah li al-Fiqh al-Islāmī: Fiqh al-Mar'ah (2000), Tajfīf Manābi' al-Tarhīb (2000), al-Sunnah al-Rasūlīyah wa al-Sunnah al-Nabamīyah: Ru'yah Jadīdah (2012).<sup>12</sup>

However, Shaḥrūr has been also subject of critical studies, example *Mujarrad al-Tanjim al-Qur'ān li Duktūr Muḥammad Shaḥrūr* by Sālim al-Jabī, *Tahāfut al-Qirā'ah al-Mu'āṣirah* by al-Ṣawwāf, as well as subject of appreciation as found in *The Shaḥrūr Phenomenon: a Liberal Islamic Voice from Syria* by Peter Clark. The criticism often leads him to be labeled and accused of being as a Zionist, Marxian, and proponent of *inkār al-Sunnah*.<sup>13</sup>

#### Shahrūr's Views on Sunnah

In his *al-Sunnah al-Rasūlīyah wa al-Sunnah al-Nabawīyah* and *al-Kitāb wa al-Qur'ān: Qirā'ah Mu'āṣirah*, Shaḥrūr explains his thoughts about the concept of *inkār al-Sunnah* which include the definition and new interpretation of Ḥadīth. Shaḥrūr rejects the Ḥadīth as the second source of law and its codification by the Prophet's companions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Vita Fitria, "Komparasi Metodologis Konsep Sunnah Menurut Fazlur Rahman dan Muhammad Syahrur," *Asy-Syir'ah: Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah dan Hukum*, Vol. 45, No. I2 (Juli-Desember 2011), 1341-1342.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Mustaqim, Epistemologi Tafsir, 96.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Muhammad Shahrūr, Prinsip dan Dasar Hermeneutika al-Qur'an Kontemporer, translated by Sahiron Syamsuddin (Yogyakarta: eLSAQ Prees, 2008); Muhammad Shahrūr, Metodologi Fiqih Islam Kontemporer, terj. Sahiron Syamsuddin, (Yogyakarta: Kalimera, 2015), 547.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Kurdi et al., *Hermenetika al-Qur'an dan Hadis* (Yogyakarta: Elsaq Press, 2010), 288.

Shaḥrūr stated that the definition of the "first Ḥadīth," according to the people at the time of the Prophet is the Qur'ān itself (*al-tanzīl al-ḥakīm*), because the word "Ḥadīth" is taken from the verses of the Qur'ān.<sup>14</sup>

فَذَرْنِي وَمَنْ يُكَذِّبُ بِمَٰذَا الْحَدِيثِ مِسْنَسْتَدْرِجُهُمْ مِنْ حَيْثُ لَا يَعْلَمُونَ

"Then leave it (hi Muhammad) to Me (the affairs) of those who deny this word (al-Qur'ān). Later We will pull them gradually (towards destruction) from a direction they do not know" (al-Qalam [68]: 44).

Shaḥrūr also stated the meaning of the "second Hadīth" in the Qur'ān is a story and news.  $^{15}\,$ 

هَلْ أَتَاكَ حَدِيثُ الجُنُودِ

"Have you come to the news of the naysayers" (al-Burūj [85]: 17).

Thus, Hadīth and Sunnah are the stories from the results of the Prophet's interaction with events in certain situations during his lifetime (historical products). Therefore, the Prophet and his companions did not consider Hadīth to be the revelation from God.<sup>16</sup>

Shaḥrūr then asserted that the meaning of the "third Ḥadīth" is "*mā dhahaba ilayh al-nabī*," which means all forms of prophetic *ijtihād*.<sup>17</sup> Shaḥrūr argued with a Ḥadīth which reads:

فَإِنَّهُ كَانَتْ فِيهِمُ الْأَعَاجِيبً

"In fact they ( Banī Isrā'īl) have miracles."

Shaḥrūr maintained that the new definition of Ḥadīth consists of three phrases: the first is ordering to hear about the verses of the Qur'ān; the second is urging them to convey what they heard from him about the verses of the Qur'ān (*al-tanzīl al-ḥakīm*) which was revealed to the Prophet; and the third is threatening the person who lied with his tongue then relating him even though he never said it by threatening him (the person who lied) to occupy the place in hell.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Muḥammad Shaḥrūr, *al-Sunnah al-Rasūlīyah wa al-Sunnah al-Nabawīyah* (Beirut: Dār al-Sāqī, 2012), 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Ibid., 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Shaḥrūr, al-Kitāb wa al-Qur'ān, 546.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Shaḥrūr, al-Sunnah al-Rasūlīyah, 22.

There is a misinterpretation of the the definition of Hadīth from the two phrases above. Shaḥrūr claims that revelation has two sources after they transfer the command and punishment from the context of the verses of the Qur'ān exclusively to the context of the Prophet's Hadīth. In the second phrase, the Prophet's Hadīths are all *mudraj* (included words) and very clear from the Prophet's Hadīth narrated from Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh written in the *Musnad al-Shāfī'ī* (Hadīth number 1.177) and the narrations from Zayd b. Aslam in the *Musannaf 'Abd al-Razzāq* (Hadīth number 10.158),<sup>18</sup> which reads:

أَنَّ رَسُولَ اللَّهِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ قَالَ: «لَا تَسْأَلُوا أَهْلَ الْكِتَابِ عَنْ شَيْءٍ، فَإِنَّمُ لَنْ يَهْدُوكُمْ، وَقَدْ أَضَلُّوا أَنْفُسَهُمْ» قَالَ: قُلْنَا: يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ، أَفَنُحَدِّثُ عَنْ بَنِي إِسْرَائِيلَ؟ قَالَ: «حَدِّثُوا وَلَا حَرَجَ، فَإِنَّهُ كَانَتْ فِيهِمُ الْأَعَاجِيبَ »

"Narrated from Jābir b. Abd 'Allah, the Rasulullah said: 'Do not ask anything to the people of the book, in fact they will not guide you, because they have gone astray," Jābir said: 'we said: Hi, Rasulullah what can we tell the story of Banī Isrā'īl?' Rasul said: 'tell (the story of Banī Isrā'īl) and you are not sinful (that is okay), Verily they (Banī Isrā'īl) have miracles."

In this regards, the Hadīth will be filled with the stories of isrā'īliyāt (the Biblical stories) which assume that their news is holy news, and accordingly contradicts the testimony of the Prophet Muḥammad that the news from Banī Isrā'īl is false news.<sup>19</sup>

Shaḥrūr made the first interpretation of the verse the Qur'ān and stated that neither Ḥadīth nor Sunnah included revelation from Allah. Therefore, he did not consider the term "*al-waḥy althāni*" (the second revelation) for the Ḥadīths or Sunnah of the Prophet Muḥammad. This opinion is built on the interpretation of God's word:

وَمَا يُنْطِقُ عَنِ الْهُوَىٰ. إِنْ هُوَ إِلَّا وَحْيٌ يُوحَىٰ.

"And that is not what he said (the Qur'ān) according to the his lust willing. These utterances were nothing but revelations which were revealed (to him)" (al-Najm [53]: 3-4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Ibid., 21-22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Ibid., 22.

Shaḥrūr argued that the evidence that the Ḥadīth as the second source with the verses of al-Najm above is not justified. This is because the meaning of showing *damīr* (pronoun) "*huwa*" in this verse is clearly refered to al-Qur'ān and not to the Prophet Muḥammad. There is no connection between the previous *damīr* and the *damīr* in the verb "*yanṭiqu*" which is interpreted as a word that denotes a return to the Prophet. It is in accordance with the nature of the Prophethood that the Prophet was not a determinant of law that could be carried out by the words and the Prophet's deeds which include his desires as well as the fluctuating state of his soul.<sup>20</sup>

Shaḥrūr made a second interpretation of the verse and stated that the Ḥadīth is "*mā dhahaba ilayh al-nabi*" which means all forms of prophetic *ijtihād*. As Allah has said, it is as a clear warning to the Prophet when the Prophet's *ijtihad* could not be tolerated on several occasions or in other words the Prophet committed wrong *ijtihād*.<sup>21</sup>

عَبَسَ وَتَوَلَّىٰ. أَنْ جَاءَهُ الْأَعْمَىٰ. وَمَا يُدْرِيكَ لَعَلَّهُ يَزَّكَىٰ

"He (Muhammad) was surly and turned away. because a blind man had come to him. Did you know maybe he wanted to clean himself (from sin)" ('Abasa [80]: 1-3).

In other verses, the Prophet was also reminded by God as follows:

يَا أَيُّهَا النَّبِيُّ لِمَ تُحَرِّمُ مَا أَحَلَّ اللَّهُ لَكَ تَعْتَبْعَنِي مَرْضَاتَ أَزْوَاجِكَ وَاللَّهُ غَفُورٌ رَجِيمٌ "Hi Prophet, why have you forbidden what Allah has made lawful for you; you are looking for the pleasure of your wifes? And Allah is Forgiving, Most Merciful" (al-Taḥrīm [66]: 1).

مَا كَانُ لِنَبِيِّ أَنْ يَكُونُ لَهُ أَسْرَىٰ حَتًىٰ يُنْخِنَ فِي الْأَرْضِ، تُرِيدُونَ عَرَضَ الدُّنْيَا وَاللَهُ يُرِيدُ الْآخِرَةَ ﴿ وَاللَّهُ عَزِيزٌ حَكِيمٌ

"It is not appropriate, for a Prophet to have prisoners before he can paralyze his enemies on earth. You want worldly possessions while Allah wants (reward) the after life (for you). And Allah is Mighty, Most Wise" (al-Anfāl [8]: 67).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Shaḥrūr, *al-Kitāb wa al-Qur'ān*, 546.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Ibid., 546.

Shaḥrūr made a third interpretation of the verse and asserted that a group of scholars who stated that the Prophet Muḥammad had ordered to collect and record his words to avoid mixing the revelations (the Qur'ān) with Ḥadīth is a weak opinion. Because the Prophet was the first to understand the words of Allah and the Qur'ān that has been guarded by Allah:

إِنَّا نَحْنُ نَزَّلْنَا الذِّكْرَ وَإِنَّا لَهُ لَحَافِظُونَ

"Truly, We are the ones who sent down the Qur'ān, and truly We really do preserve it" (al-Ḥijr [15]: 9).

With this verse as a proof, Shahrūr argued that the Prophet should have had an idea to assign some companions to write revelations (the Qur'ān) and some others to write Hadīth.<sup>22</sup>

After the companions finished the collection of the Qur'ān, which started in the period of Abū Bakr until the end of the 'Uthmān b. 'Affān's period, then the *muṣḥaf* was copied. The collection and writing had been complete, and the present *muṣḥaf* is the copy of the first *muṣḥaf*. Then, why did the companions not collect the Hadīths at that time as they collected the verses of the Qur'ān after they had free time, that is after collecting and writing the verses of the Qur'ān? Thus when that happens the Hadīth will also come to us with a *mutawātir* narrative.

If the Prophet and the companions did not collect and write Hadīth for the reason above, it means that the collection of Hadīths was unnecessary and unimportant. It can be concluded that the Hadīth of the Prophet is a historical product and the Sunnah is not the true word of the Prophet.

It can be understood that if there was no order from the Prophet to collect and codify Hadīths, it can be concluded that the Prophet and his companions wanted to confuse Muslims with the absence of codification of Hadīth. It means that the Qur'ānic verse in al-Mā'idah [5]: 3 is meaningless. Thus, how is this religion complete if the Hadīth as the source of the second law has not been codified? How come the companions have written the Qur'ān but the Hadīth has not been codified?<sup>23</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Ibid., 547.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Ibid., 547.

Shaḥrūr made the fourth interpretation of the verse and stated that a guideline or method based on an agreed pattern of life then applied to society in order to be easily implemented. As a consequence, the Sunnah changes and transforms, because the Qur'ān explains that the Sunnah is not eternal, even tentative (changing). The Qur'ān mentions as follows (al-Anfāl [8]: 38, al-Hijr [15]: 13, al-Kahfi [18]: 55, al-Aḥzāb [33]: 38, Ghāfir [40]: 85, Åli 'Imrān [3]: 137, and al-Nisā' [4]: 26):<sup>24</sup>

"Say to those who disbelieve: "If they stop (from disbelief), surely Allah will forgive them about their past sins; and if they come back again it will actually apply (to them) the Sunnah (of Allah towards) the former people" (al-Anfāl [8]: 38).

يُؤْمِنُونَ بِهِ مِوَقَدْ خَلَتْ سُنَّةُ الْأَوَّلِينَ

"They do not believe it (al-Qur'ān) and indeed the sunnatullah has passed on the people before" (al-Ḥijr [15]: 13).

Therefore, the concept of Islam as the religion that applies to any time and place *(sāliḥ li kulli zamān wa makān)* would necessarily interact with circumstances and historical stages to produce a particular society and civilization at each era. In this case, the principle of historical civilization can be taken according to the people who interact with it. It is the main reason for the Prophet and his companions in emphasizing their attention to revelation which is a fundamental principle, while the rest is left to humans in the course of time.

In this regard, it would be not correct to define the Prophet's Sunnah as all things that comes from the Prophet in the form of words, deeds, orders, prohibitions, or agreements. The definition of Sunnah as such does not come from the Prophet himself, and therefore it is subject to discussion, acceptance or even rejection. Such a definition implies that the Prophet and his companions did not have knowledge about the Sunnah as defined above. It is therefore more appropriate to argue that the basis or source of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Shahrūr, al-Sunnah al-Rasūlīyah, 93-94.

Islamic law is the Qur'ān and the Sunnah, rather than the Qur'ān and Ḥadīth.<sup>25</sup>

## Criticism towards Shahrūr's Inkār al-Sunnah

Shaḥrūr's rejection of Ḥadīth as the second source of Islamic law and the concept of Sunnah which changes every time seems worthy of re-examination. It is because in the Ḥadīth there are several sources of Islamic law that are in accordance with what is written in the Qur'ān. Ḥadīth also serves as reinforcing (*ta'kīd*) what has been determined by the Qur'ān, as clarifying or explaining (*tabyīn*) what is contained in the Qur'ān, and as determining the laws that have not been regulated or laws that have not been legally enforced in the Qur'ān.<sup>26</sup>

The first definition proposed by Shaḥrūr that the Ḥadīth is the Qur'ān itself is comparatively incorrect. The root of the word Hadīth (*al-Ḥadīth*) is *ḥaddatha-yuḥaddithu* which means expression or word,<sup>27</sup> while, the words or expression referred to al-Qalam [68]: 44 are attributed to the Qur'ān (*kalām Allāh*).<sup>28</sup>

The meaning of the word "hadīth" is the Qur'ān, but it does not mean that the Hadīth is the Qur'ān itself. The word "hadīth" is used instead of the word "qur'ān," because at that time the process of revelation (the Qur'ān) was not complete yet. It had not been fully codified and called the Qur'ān because it was still *kalām Allāh* that came down gradually, verse by verse, during the early days of Islam.<sup>29</sup> It can also be proven by the category of the al-Qalam [68]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Shaḥrūr, *al-Kitāb wa al-Qur'ān*, 548.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Muhammad Abū Zahw, al-Hadīth wa al-Muḥaddithūn (Kairo: Dār al-Fikr al-'Arabī, 1378), 38-39. Muhammad Jamāl al-Dīn b. Muhammad Saʿīd b. Qādim al-Halāq al-Qāsimī, *Qawāʿid al-Taḥdīth min Funūn Muṣtalaḥ al-Hadīth* (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmīyah, n.d.). 149.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Aḥmad Mukhtār 'Abd al-Ḥamīd 'Umar, *Mu'jam al-Lughah al-'Arabīyah al-Mu'āṣirah*, Vol. 1 (N.p.: 'Ālim al-Kutub, 1429), 454.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Muhammad b. Jarīr b. Yazīd b. Kathīr b. Ghālib al-Amalī Abū Ja'far al-Ţabarī, Jāmi' al-Bayān fī Ta'nrīl al-Qurān (Tafsīr al-Ţabarī), Vol. 23 (N.p.: Mu'assis al-Risālah, 1420), 561; Abū Muhammad al-Husayn b. Mas'ūd b. Muhammad b. al-Farā' al-Baghawī al-Shāfi'ī, Ma'ālim al-Tanzīl fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān (Tafsīr al-Baghami), Vol. 5 (Beirut: Dār Ihyā' al-Turāth al-'Arabī, 1420), 142.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Muḥamamd 'Abd al-'Aẓīm al-Zurqānī, *Manāhil al-Irfān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān*, Vol. 1 (N.p.: Maṭba' 'Īsā al-Bānī al-Hilmī, n.d.), 19; Muḥammad Bakr 'Ismā'īl, *Dirāsāt fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān* (N.p.: Dār al-Manār, 1419), 10.

that is included into *Makkāyah* (revealed during Meccan period) which means the *sūrah* came down before the prophet's emigration (*hijrah*) to Madinah.<sup>30</sup> This verse tells about the situation of the Prophet in Mecca at that time as the opposition from the infidels of Quraysh was quite strong. This verse has been abolished (*nasakh*) by the verses of *al-sayf* (which indicate that Muslims must fight when they are threatened), as mentioned in al-Tawbah [9]: 5, 36, and 41.<sup>31</sup> The use of arguments have been abrogated (*mansūkh*) for an argument (*hujjah*) not allowed in Islam, because the abrogation (*nasakh*) itself is meant as constructing a new law with arguments based on Sharī'ah, and abandoning or canceling the law from the arguments that have been abrogated (*mansūkh*). In this regard, Shaḥrūr's arguments are rejected (*mantūd*) accordingly.<sup>32</sup>

The evidence for this matter is that the use of the word "qur'ān" in the Qur'ān has the meaning the Qur'ān itself, which is found in the Medinan chapter (*sūrah Madanīyah*). This also shows that the *sūrah* came down when the Prophet had emigrated to Medina (even though it was in Mecca), such as the verse in al-Baqarah [2]: 185, al-Nisā' [4]: 82, al-Mā'idah [5]: 101, and al-Tawbah [9]: 111.<sup>33</sup>

The second definition proposed by Shaḥrūr that the Ḥadīth is a story, news and interpreting as a historical product by relying

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Abū 'Abd Allāh Badr al-Dīn Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. Buhādir al-Zarkashī, *al-Burhān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān*, Vol. 1 (Beirut: Dār Ihyā' al-Kutub al-'Arabīyah, 1376), 187; Abū al-Qāsim b. Salāmah b. Naṣr b. 'Alī al-Baghdādī al-Muqrī, *al-Nāsikh wa al-Mansūkh* (Beirut: al-Maktabat al-Islāmī, 1404), 183.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Abū Muḥammad 'Alī b. Aḥmad b. Sa'īd b. Ḥazm al-Andalusī al-Qurṭubī al-Zāhirī, al-Nāsikh wa al-Mansūkh fi al-Qur'ān al-Karīm (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1406), 61. Jamāl al-Dīn Abū al-Farj 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Alī b. Muḥammad al-Jawzī, al-Musfi bi Akfi Ahl al-Rasūkh min Ilm al-Nāsikh wa al-Mansūkh (N.p.: Mu'assisat al-Risālah, 1418), 57.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Qatādah b. Di'āmah b. Qatādah b. 'Azīz Abū al-Khaṭṭāb al-Sudūsī al-Baṣrī, al-Nāsih wa al-Mansükh (N.p.: Mu'assisat al-Risālah, 1418), 6; Muḥammad b. Husayn b. Hasan al-Jīzānī, Ma'ālim Uṣūl al-Fiqh 'Inda Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā'ah (N.p.:: Dār Ibn al-Jawzī, 1427), 246. Khalāf, 'Ilm Uṣūl, 222. 'Abd al-Mālik b. 'Abd Allāh b. Yūsuf b. Muḥammad al-Jawīnī, al-Burhān fī Uṣūl al-Fiqh, Vol. 2 (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1418), 246.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Hārith b. Asad al-Muhāsibī, Fahm al-Qur'ān wa Ma'ānīhi (Beirut: Dār al-Kindī, 1398), 395. Fahd b. 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Sulaymān al-Rūmī, Dirāsāt fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān al-Karīm (N.p.: Huqūq al-Ţab' Mahfūzah, 1424), 125.

upon al-Burūj [85]: 17, is not true. It is due to the fact that the root of the word "hadīth" is *haddatha-yuhaddithu* which means speaking, preaching, and informing.<sup>34</sup> The meaning of the word "hadīth" refers to everything that has been discussed about words and report (news).<sup>35</sup>

If Shahrūr interprets "hadīth" linguistically as news, it is in accordance with the original (literal) meaning of the term. However, it is well-known that the definition of the Hadīth terminologically or in the science of Hadith is anything (in the form of news) that comes from the Prophet Muhammad which includes words, deeds, consent and behavior.36 When Shahrūr defines or explaines the Hadith as stories and historical products regarding the Prophet's interaction with events and certain situations, then it cannot justified. It is because the Hadith contains the words, deeds and behavior of the Prophet which are conveyed in two phrases which include sanad (chain of transmission) and matn (content).37 Shahrūr's definition of the Hadith as stories and news (reports) is not correct, since the meaning of "hadīth" in al-Burūj [85]: 17 cannot be used to define the term the Hadith as stories and historical products. In the following verse, Allah reminds the Prophet with news about the events or incidents of people, such as Fir'aun, his people, and the Thamūd. In this regard, Allah chooses the word "hadīth" instead of the word "akhbār."<sup>38</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Nashwān b. Sa'īd al-Hamīrī al-Yamanī, *Shams al-'Ulūm wa Dawa' Kalām al-'Arab min al-Kalūm*, Vol. 3 (Beirut: Dār al-Fikr al-Ma'āṣir, 1420), 1361; Louwis b. Naqula Ṣāhir al-Ma'lūf, *al-Munjid fī al-Lughah* (Beirut: al-Matba'ah al-Kāthulīkīyah, 2010), 121.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> 'Umar, *Mu'jam al-Lughah*, Vol. 1, 454; Sa'dī Abū Ḥabīb, *al-Qāmūs al-Fiqhīyah Lughah wa Istilāḥ* (Damascus: Dār al-Fikr, 1408), 79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Muḥammad b. Ṣāliḥ b. Muḥammad al-ʿUthaymin, *Muṣṭalaḥ al-Ḥadīth* (Kairo: Maktabat al-ʿIlm, 1415), 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Zayn al-Dīn 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Aḥmad b. Rajab b. al-Ḥasan al-Salamī, *Sharḥ Ila al-Tirmidhī* (Yordania: Maktabat al-Manār, 1407), 156.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad b. Idrīs b. al-Mundhīr al-Tamīmī b. Abī Ḥātim al-Rāzī, *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-'Aẓīm li Ibn Abī Ḥātim*, Vol. 10 (Saudi Arabia: Maktabat Naẓār Muṣṭafā al-Bāz, 1419), 3414; al-Ṭabarī, *Jāmi' al-Bayān*, Vol. 24, 346-347.

The third definition proposed by Shaḥrūr that Ḥadīth is "mā dhahaba ilayh al-nabī," which means all forms of the Prophet's endeavor (*ijtihād*), and Shaḥrūr's view that all Ḥadīths are mudraj and filled with the words and deeds of Banī Isrā'īl cannot be accepted. Supposedly, those who reject Ḥadīth and Sunnah disregard the Prophet's Ḥadīth as their argument (*ḥujjah*), but it is ironic that they base their argument to reject Ḥadīth on the Ḥadīth itself.

In this context, Shaḥrūr's argument against Ḥadīth and Sunnah is based on the Prophet's Ḥadīth narrated by Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh in the *Musnad al-Shāfi'i* number 1.177 and the narration of Zayd b. Aslam in the *Musannaf 'Abd al-Razzāq* number 10.158. Confirming these books, Shaḥrūr maintains that it can be concluded that the Shaḥrūr who did the Ḥadīth is *mudraj*, since in the *Musannaf 'Abd al-Razzāq* number 10.158 there is no word "fa innahū kānat fī him ala'ājib'' as the following:

"From Zayd b. Aslam said: 'we say are we allowed to tell about the Banī Isrā'il?,' The Prophet said: 'tell (what you hear) from Banī Isrā'il and that is okay (no sin)" (Narrated by 'Abd al-Razzāq).<sup>39</sup>

After referring to all the Hadīth books, the phrase "*fa innahū*  $k\bar{a}nat f\bar{i} him al-a'\bar{a}jib" is found in another Hadīth or in another book:$ 

"From Jābir said; Rasul said: "Tell from the Banī Isrā'īl and there is no sin, truly they (Banī Isrā'īl) have a miracle" (Narrated by 'Abd b. Ḥamīd).<sup>40</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Abū Bakr 'Abd al-Razzāq b. Himām b. Nāfiq al-Humayrī al-Yamānī al-Ṣan'ānī, *al-Muṣannaf 'Abd al-Razʒāq al-Ṣan'ānī*, Vol. 6 (Beirut: al-Maktab al-Islāmī, 1403), 110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Abū Muhammad 'Abd al-Hamīd b. Hamīd b. Naṣr al-Kassī, *al-Muntakhab min Musnad 'Abd b. Hamīd*, Vol. 1, No. 1156 (Kairo: Maktabat al-Sunnah, 1408), 349.

Similarly, the argument of Shaḥrūr about the narration of Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh in the *Musnad al-Shāfi'ī* number 1.177 is that the Hadīth under debate cannot be found, and even the Hadīth number 1.177 is not like different from the Hadīth intended by Shaḥrūr. However, the Hadīth narrated by Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh can be found in the *Musnad Aḥmad*, but with different text (*matn*):

عَنْ جَابِرِ بْنِ عَبْدِ اللَّهِ، قَالَ: قَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ: «لَا تَسْأَلُوا أَهْلَ الْكِتَابِ عَنْ شَيْءٍ، فَإِنَّهُمْ لَنْ يَهْدُوكُمْ، وَقَدْ ضَلُّوا، فَإِنَّكُمْ إِمَّا أَنْ تُصَدِّقُوا بِبَاطِلٍ، أَوْ تُكَذِّبُوا بِحَقٍّ، فَإِنَّهُ لَوْ كَانَ مُوسَى حَيًّا بَيْنَ أَظْهُرِكُمْ، مَا حَلَّ لَهُ إِلَّا أَنْ يَتَبِعَنِي».

"From Jābir Ibn 'Abd Allah, said: The Apostle said: "Do not ask the People of the Book about something, in fact they will not be able to give you guidance, and indeed they have gone astray, then in fact you may justify deceit or may lie truth. Indeed, if Musa was still alive among you, he would not have legalized himself except to follow me" (Narrated by Ahmad b. Hanbal).<sup>41</sup>

After (*sanad* and *matn* criticism), it can be asserted that the Hadīth narrated by 'Abd al-Razzāq is categorized as the Hadīth with weak transmitters (da' if al-sanad). In the Hadīth, there is a narrator from *mursal tābi'īn* (no mention of the narrator from *tābi'īn*) between Zayd b. Aslam and Ibn Jurayj, and also *mursal saḥabī* (no mention of the narrator from companions) between the Zayd b. Aslam and the Prophet, since Zayd was a *tābi'īn* (follower).<sup>42</sup>

However, the Hadīth text (*matn*) of 'Abd al-Razzāq does not contradict with the Prophet's saying that there is a prohibition of asking the People of the Book about Islam or *tawḥīd* (monotheism), because they have misled their religion. Likewise, someone cannot accept news from the People of the Book because their testimony has been rejected.<sup>43</sup> However, it is permitted to tell the story about the Banī Isrā'īl as far as it is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Abū 'Abd Allāh Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Hanbal b. Hilāl b. Asad al-Shaybānī, *Musnad al-Imām Ahmad b. Hanbal*, Vol. 22, No. 14631 (N.p.: Mu'assis al-Risālah, 1421), 468.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Ibid., 468-469.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Ahmad b. 'Alī b. Hajar Abū al-Fadl al-'Asqalānī, Fath al-Bārī Sharh Ṣahīh al-Bukhārī, Vol. 5 (Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, 1379), 292.

known that the story is not a lie; however, it is not allowed to lie about the story of Banī Isrā'īl, while there are *rukhṣah* (relief) in delivering the stories of the Banī Isrā'īl. However, the delivery of the Israelite stories must be accompanied with transmitters (*sanad*) as there has been long temporal distance to the Banī Isrā'īl.<sup>44</sup> It is permitted to tell about only good things, but it is not allowed to deliver bad things and a lie. The Prophet allowed the companions to tell about Banī Isrā'īl under several conditions, but prohibited the inclusion of the stories about Banī Isrā'īl into the Hadīth.<sup>45</sup>

After scrutinizing the Hadīths narrated by 'Abd Ibn Hamīd it can be categorized as the Hadīth of *da'if al-sanad*, because one of the narrators in the Hadīth, al-Rabī' ibn Sa'ad al-Ju'fī, is a narrator whose identity is unknown (*majhūl ḥāl*).<sup>46</sup>

Basically, the phrase in the Hadīth of 'Abd b. Hamīd "*taḥaddathū 'an Banī Isrā'īl*" does not contradict with what the Prophet said about conditions as explained above. Meanwhile, the interpretation of the phrase "*fa innahū kānat fīhim al-a'ājīb*" regarding to the miracle and strangeness of the story of Banī Isrā'īl needs to fulfil the conditions put by the Prophet, although the story is about good thing (in line with Islam), not a false story,<sup>47</sup> and contradict Islamic law. When the story is contradictory to Islam, it will be rejected or considered as *khurafāt* (superstitions).<sup>48</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Abū Sulaymān Hamad b. Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm b. al-Khaṭṭāb al-Basrī, *Maʿālim al-Sunan Sharḥ Sunan Abī Dāwud*, Vol. 4 (Ḥalb: al-Maṭbaʿah al-ʿIlmīyah, 1351), 187.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Muhammad Ashraf b. 'Alī b. Amīr b. 'Alī Ibn Haydar Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Abādī, 'Awn al-Ma'būd Sharḥ Sunan Abī Dāwūd wa Ma'ahū Hāshiyat Ibn al-Qayyim: Tahdhīb Sunan Abī Dāwūd, Vol. 10 (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmīyah, 1415), 69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Shams al-Dīn Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. 'Uthmān b. Qaymār al-Dhahabī, Mizān al-I'tidāl fi Naqd al-Rijāl, Vol. 2 (Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, 1382), 40; Al-Sayyid Abū al-Ma'ātī al-Nūrī Aḥmad 'Abd al-Razzāq 'Id Maḥmūd Muḥammad Khalīl, Mansū'at Aqwāl al-Imām Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal fi Rijāl al-Ḥadīth wa Ilalibī, Vol. 1 (N.p.: 'Ālim al-Kutub, 1417), 367.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Muhammad b. Muhammad b. Suwaylim Abū Dhuhbah, *al-Isrā'īlīyyāt wa al-Mawdū'āt fī Kutub al-Tafsīr* (N.p.: Maktabat al-Sunnah, n.d.), 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Abū Zakariyyā Maḥyā al-Dīn Yaḥyā b. Sharf al-Nawawī, Sharḥ al-Arbaʿin al-Nawawī, Vol. 12 (N.p.: n.p., n.d.), 27. Ibrāhīm b. Muḥammad b. Muḥammad Kamāl al-Dīn b. Aḥmad b. Husayn Burhān al-Dīn b. Hamzah al-Husaybī al-Dimashqī, al-Bayān wa al-Taʿrīf fī Asbāb Wurūd al-Hadīth al-Sharīf, Vol. 2 (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿArabī, n.d.), 59.

It is also required not to shake the heart of a Muslim when he hears stories of miracles from the Banī Isrā'īl.<sup>49</sup>

It is important to note, therefore, that Shaḥrūr's accusation that all Ḥadīths are *mudraj* with the story Isra'īlīyāt is not valid, because Shaḥrūr's arguments on the basis of Ḥadīth are not evident. The first Ḥadīth which is used as an argument is neither found in the Ḥadīth book mentioned by Shaḥrūr nor in other Ḥadīth books. While the second Ḥadīth is considered as a Ḥadīth *mudraj* by Shaḥrūr himself, namely by inserting the text (*matn*) of Ḥadīths from other narrations into the very Ḥadīth which is used as an argument which leads to the manipulation of the evidence. In the discipline of Ḥadīth, such arguments are rejected.<sup>50</sup>

The first interpretation of the verse *al-qur'ān* by Shaḥrūr that neither Ḥadīth nor Sunnah is the revelation from Allah, along with his denial of the term "*al-waḥy al-thānî*" (second revelation) in al-Najm [53]: 3-4, can be regarded as not correct. The interpretation of the verse (linguistically) "*wa mā yanțiqu 'an al-hawâ*" is "nothing was uttered by the Prophet Muḥammad according to the will of his passions." Meanwhile, the phrase "*in huwa illā waḥy yūḥâ*" means "the utterance is nothing but a revelation revealed (to him)". It can also be interpreted that the word "*yanțiqu*" means what is said by the Prophet Muḥammad. Meanwhile, the word "*huwa*" means "*nuțqu al-nabî*" or the words of the Prophet Muḥammad, or everything the Prophet said.<sup>51</sup> The translation of all the words "the Prophet's speech" is the Qur'ān and Ḥadīth, because the Ḥadīth (Sunnah) is an interpretation of the Prophet.<sup>52</sup> If the meaning

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Abū al-Hasan 'Ubayd Allāh b. Muḥammad 'Abd al-Salām b. Khān Muḥammad b. Amān Allāh b. Hishām al-Dīn al-Raḥmānī, *Muru'āh al-Mafātiḥ Sharḥ Mishkāt al-Maṣābīḥ*, Vol. 1 (Benares India: Idārat al-Buḥūth al-'Ilmīyah wa al-Da'wah wa al-Iftā', 1404), 301-302.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Rif'at b. Fawzī 'Abd al-Muțțalib, *Tawthīq al-Sunnah fī al-Qarn al-Thānī al-Hijrah Asāsahū wa Ittijāhātihī* (Mesir: Maktabat al-Khanā Najī, n.d.), 296.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Ibrāhīm b. al-Sarī b. Sahl Abū Ishāq al-Zajāj, *Maʿānī al-Qurʾān wa Iʿrābih*, Vol. 5 (Beirut: 'Alim al-Kutub, 1408), 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Abī Bakr b. Farj al-Anṣārī al-Khazrazī Shams al-Dīn al-Qurṭubī, *al-Jāmi' li Aḥkām al-Qur'ān (Tafsīr al-Qurṭubì)*, Vol 13 (Kairo: Dār al-Kutub al-Miṣrīyah, 1384), 85; Darūzat Muḥammad 'Azat, *al-Tafsīr al-Ḥadīth*, Vol. 2 (Kairo: Dār al-Kutub al-'Arabīyah, 1383), 78.

of the word "*huwa*" means al-Qur'ān, then the question arises: is what the Prophet said only the Qur'ān? Is the Hadīth not the Prophet's words? Are the words which came out of the mouth of the Prophet not a revelation?

The interpretation of the verse is not the same as Shaḥrūr's argument, but contradictory. The Prophet could not have said anything wrong, since what he said was an evidence or an argument from Allah. In another commentary it is stated that what was revealed to the Prophet came from Allah, and through the Jibrīl was conveyed to the Prophet. In other words, all the words that came out of the Prophet's mouth were revealed of the Prophet's mouth were revealed from Allah through Jibrīl (including the Qur'ān and Ḥadīth). This can be proven by the evidence about the incident when the Prophet committed mistake in *ijtihād*, then Allah rebuked the Prophet and provided a solution by revealing the verse related to the incorrect Prophet's <sup>53</sup>

Shaḥrūr argues that the *damīr "huwa*" has nothing to do with the word "*yanṭiqu*," and the meaning of the word "*huwa*" does not refer to the Qur'ān, but contains another meaning. This is because the Qur'ān is the words of the Prophet *verbatim* form Allah, while the word "*yanṭiqu*" means everything that was said by the Prophet. As a result, the word "*huwa*" and the word "*yanṭiqu*" are related to each other, as these explain the meaning and type of words uttered by the Prophet. In this context, the Qur'ān is the words of the Prophet that come from Allah, while the Ḥadīths are the words of the Prophet that come from the Prophet himself.<sup>54</sup>

It can be explained that the words of the Prophet (both the Qur'ān and Ḥadīth) are not based on the will of his desires and have nothing to do with the fluctuating state of his soul. This is in accordance with the explanation of the Qur'ān in al-Ḥashr [59]:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Abū Muḥammad Sahl b. 'Abd Allāh. Yūnus b. Rafī' al-Tustarī, *Tafsīr al-Tustarī*, Vol. 1 (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1423), 156; al-Ṭabarī, *Jāmi' al-Bayān*, Vol. 22, 498.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Abū Muḥammad Makkī b. Abī Ṭālib Ḥammūsh b. Muḥammad b. Mukhtār al-Qaysī al-Qīrāwānī al-Andalūsī al-Qurtubī al-Mālikī, *al-Hidāyah ilā Bulūgh al-Nihāyah fī 1lm Ma'ānī al-Qur'ān wa Tafsīrih wa Aḥkāmih wa Jumal min Funūn 'Ulūmih*, Vo. 11 (N.p.: Majmū' Buḥūth al-Kitāb wa al-Sunnah, 1429), 7142.

7,<sup>55</sup> and the Hadīth of the Prophet which is relevant to *asbāb al-nuzūl* (the causes of revelation) of al-Najm [53]: 3-4, implying that all of what the Prophet said (including the Qur'ān and Hadīth) is *haq* (truth),<sup>56</sup> namely:

عَنْ عَبْدِ اللَّهِ بْنِ عَمْرٍو، فَقَالَ: «اَكْتُبْ فَوَالَّذِي نَفْسِي بِيَدِهِ مَا يَخْرُجُ مِنْهُ إِلَّا حَقِّ "From 'Abd Allāh b. 'Amr, said: He said: 'Continue your writing, then by God, whose soul is in His grasp, nothing comes out of my mouth but only rights (right)" (Narrated by Abū Dāwūd).<sup>57</sup>

The second interpretation of the verse *al-qur'an* by Shahrūr that Hadīth is "mā dhahaba ilayh al-nabi" which means all forms of the Prophet's *ijtihād* by providing evidence and colliding with the chapter 'Abasa [80]: 1-3, al-Anfāl [8]: 67 and al-Tahrīm [66]: 1, seems incorrect. The incident depicted in the chapter 'Abasa [80]: 1-3 had a specific cause of revelation (sabab al-nuzul). It is known that the revelation of 'Abasa [80]: 1-3 was related to the coming of the blind Ibn Ummi Maktūm to the Prophet, wanting to be instructed and convert to Islam. The incident occurred when the Prophet was giving direction to the Quraysh leaders to convert to Islam which led the Prophet to ignore or reject the blind. Rejection of the Prophet was marked by the Prophet's sour face when he saw Ibn Ummi Maktūm (not verbal rejection). The Prophet did not pay attention to what Ibn Ummi Maktūm said because the former still dealt with the Quraysh leaders.<sup>58</sup> This is in accordance with the Hadīth of the Prophet narrated by al-Tirmīdhī.<sup>59</sup>

Likewise, the incident mentioned in al-Anfāl [8]: 67 has *asbāb* al-nuzūl. It is known that al-Anfāl [8]: 67 was revealed because

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Idrīs b. al-'Abbās b. 'Uthmān b. Shāfi'ī b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. 'Abd Manāf al-Qurayshī al-Shāfi'ī, *Tafsīr al-Imām al-Shāfi'ī*, Vol. 3 (Saudi Arabīyah: Dār al-Tadmīrīyah, 1426), 1329.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Abū al-Fidā' Ismā'īl b. 'Umar b. Kathīr al-Qarashī al-Baṣrī al-Dimashqī, *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-'Azīm (Tafsīr Ibn Kathīr*), Vol. 7 (N.p.: Dār Ṭayyibah, 1420), 443.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Abū Dāwūd Sulaymān b. al-Ash'ath b. Isḥāq b. Bashīr b. Shadād b. 'Amr al-Azadī al-Sijistānī, *Sunan Abī Dāwūd*, Vol. 3, No. 3646 (Beirut: al-Maktabat al-'Aṣrīyah, n.d.), 328.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Muḥammad b. 'Alī b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Shawkānī al-Yamanī, *Fatḥ al-Qadīr*, Vol. 5 (Beirut: Dār Ibn Kathīr, 1414), 467.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Muḥammad b. 'Īsā b. Sawrah b. Mūsā b. al-Daḥāk Abū 'Īsā al-Tirmīdhī, *Sunan al-Tirmidhī*, Vol 5, No. 3331 (Mesir: Muṣṭafā al-Bābī al-Ḥalbī, 1395), 432.

there had been disputes among the companions of the Prophet during the war of Badr regarding prisoners. 'Umar wanted to kill all prisoners of the war that led to the full victory of Muslims. However, Abū Bakr disagreed and wanted to release the prisoners with a ransom of 4000 dinars. The Prophet decided to choose the opinion of Abū Bakr, and 'Umar responded: "It is not proper for the Prophet to have a prisoner before he can paralyze his enemies on earth". After that, there came the verse that supported what 'Umar said, thus the Prophet chose to kill the enemies and prisoners to ensure the victory of Muslims in the battle of Badr.<sup>60</sup>

The incident mentioned in al-Taḥrīm [66]: 1 has also asbāb alnuzūl. Al-Taḥrīm [66]: 1 was revealed when the Prophet had intercourse with his slave wife, Māriyah al-Qibṭīyah at Ḥafṣah's house, while at that time Ḥafṣah was not at home. Then, she came and objected with what had been done by the Prophet in her house. The Prophet told Ḥafṣah that she (Māriyah Qibṭīyah) was ḥarām (forbidden) for me. The Prophet did this to seek the pleasure of the Prophet's wives by stating that Māriyah Qibṭīyah is forbidden for him.<sup>61</sup>

In these verses, there are explainations about the mistakes of the Prophet in his *ijtihād* which are then corrected by Allah through the revelation of the verse which guide to what the Prophet should do. Shaḥrūr's mistake lies in his rejection of the Hadīth by stating that it was the result of the Prophet's *ijtihad*, by using the evidence from the Qur'ān about the Prophet's mistake. However, the Prophet's misdeeds were not something used as the basis for law in Islam. Instead, Allah had rebuked and provided a solution by revealing a verse, thus making the Prophet free from mistakes in his actions.

Shaḥrūr uses the evidance from the Qur'ān or Ḥadīth which indicates that the Ḥadīth is the result of the Prophet's *ijtihād* which has no relevance to the Qur'ān, or that the Ḥadīth is not based on Allah's order, or that there is no warning and a solution from Allah through the revelation of the Qur'ānic verses. Therefore, Shaḥrūr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Abū al-Hasan Maqātil b. Sulaymān b. Bashīr al-Azadī al-Balakhī, *Tafsīr Maqātil* 

b. Sulaymān, Vol. 2 (Beirut: Dār Iḥyā' al-Turāth, 1423), 129.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Al-Mālikī, *al-Hidāyah ilā Bulūgh al-Nihāyah*, Vol 12. 7561-7564.

strongly asserts that the Hadīth is the result of the Prophet's *ijtihād* himself, not an order from Allah.

The third interpretation of the verse by Shaḥrūr is that the Prophet and his companions did not consider the Hadīth as revelation from Allah. For Shaḥrūr, it is evident that neither the Prophet nor his companions ordered to collect, write or record Hadīths or as the Prophet had ordered to write the Qur'ān on the grounds of avoiding the mixture between revelations, the Qur'ān, and Hadīth. This viewpoint of Shahrūr can be said as weak, since the argument used in this regard, al-Hijr [15]: 9 is interpreted literally, thus . considering that the Qur'ān is preserved by writing from the beginning is said to be from the Prophet, while the Hadīths are not. He also questions why the Qur'ān and Hadīth were not written simultaneously or the Prophet assigned his companions to document both the Qur'ān and Hadīth.

In the interpretation of the chapter al-Hijr [15]: 9, the meaning of the phrase "wa innā lahū lahāfizūn" is that Allah keeps the Qur'ān by making it easier for the companions at that time to memorize and recite the Qur'an, so, the Qur'an is free from additions, subtractions and deviations.<sup>62</sup> Based on a historical point of view, one can argue that the Qur'an was sent down gradually to the Prophet and passed on to Muslims at that time gradually, so the Prophet's Hadith is an interpretation of the Qur'an. This actually strengthens the statement that the Prophet ordered to write down the Qur'an and postpone (with a temporary ban) for writing other than the Qur'an (in this case Hadith) on the grounds that it is to be not mixed. At the beginning of Islam, the Qur'an was still in the form of kalām Allāh (there was no name for the Qur'ān). However, after Islam developed, the name of al-Qur'an emerged to designate the corpus of collected verses revealed to the Prophet. The terms al-Qur'an and Hadith originated from the words of the same Prophet: that is, the Qur'an came down by the words (verbatim) from Allah, conveyed through the words of the Prophet, while the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Abū al-Hasan 'Alī b. Muḥammad b. Muḥammad b. Habīb al-Başrī al-Baghdādī al-Māwardī, *Tafsīr al-Māwardī: al-Nukat wa al-Uyin*, Vol. 3 (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmīyah, n.d.), 149; Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Hasanī al-Husaynī al-Ījī, *Tafsīr al-Ījī Jāmi' al-Bayān fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān*, Vol. 2 (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmīyah, 1424), 306.

Hadīths were conveyed through the words of the Prophet  $himself.^{\rm 63}$ 

It is important to raise such a question as why the Prophet did not assign some companions to write down the revealed verses and some others to collect and write Hadith that came out of himself at the same time. The Prophet ordered some companions to write the revealed verses on the palm fronds (not yet in the form of *mushaf*) at that time because the revelation has not been complete yet before the Prophet died (as evidenced by the revelation of the last verse (al-Mā'idah [5]: 3) at the farewell pilgrimage (hajjat al-wada') in the year 10 after hijrah (the Prophet died in the year 11 after *hijrah*). The writing of the revealed verses of the Qur'an can be proven by the correction of the writing of Zayd b. Thabit by the Prophet. The Prophet also ordered some companions to write Hadiths after Islam spread, but it was not mandatory. The companions with low or weak memorization capacity are encouraged to write down Hadiths, while those with high capacity are not.<sup>64</sup>

It is admitted from historical perspective that, the Qur'ān was revealed in Arabia, dan therefore to a great degree represent the Arab sociological and historical milieu at that time. The Arabs are known as not strong at reading, writing and arithmetic,<sup>65</sup> they are very good in memory.<sup>66</sup> In this context, the Qur'ān and Hadīth of the Prophet have been memorized from the beginning of the Prophetic message. Despite the fact that the companions did not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Muhammad 'Umar Hawayh, *Nuzūl al-Qur'ān al-Karīm wa Tārīkhih wa mā* Yata'allaqu Bih (Madinah: Majmū' al-Muluk Fahd, n.d.), 28; Khālid b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Alī al-Jarīsī, *Mu'allim al-Tajmīd* (N.p.: n.p., n.d.), 20; 'Abd al-Wadūd Maqbūl Ḥanīf, *Nuzūl al-Qur'ān wa al-Ināyah Bih fī 'Ahd al-Nabī* (Madinah: Majmū' al-Muluk Fahd, n.d.), 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Muḥammad Ṭāhir b. 'Abd al-Qādir al-Kurdī al-Makkī al-Shāfi'ī al-Khaṭtāṭ, *Tārīkh al-Qur'ān al-Karīm* (Ḥijāz: Maṭba'at al-Fatḥ bi Jiddah, 1365), 20-22; al-'Asqalānī, *Fatḥ al-Bārī*, Vol. 1, 210.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Ahmad b. Mustafā al-Marāghī, *Tafsīr al-Marāghī*, Vol. 28 (Mesir: Shirkat Maktabat Mustafā al-Bābī al-Halbī, 1365), 94; al-Yamānī, *Fath al-Qadīr*, Vol 2. 287.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> 'Abd al-'Azīm Ibrāhīm Muḥammad al-Maṭ'anī, al-Shubhāt al-Thalāthūn al-Muthārah li Inkār al-Sunnah al-Nabawīyah 'Ard wa Tafnīd wa Naqs (N.p.: Maktabat Wahbah, 1420), 101; Muḥammad Ḥusayn Haikāl, Ḥayāt Muḥammad wa Ālih wa Sallam (N.p.: n.p., n.d.), 31.

write down the Ḥadīths due to their strong memory, there were in fact few companions who wrote the Ḥadīth of the Prophet, such as 'Abd Allāh b. 'Amr b. al-'Āṣ who gave a title for his work *al-Ṣādiqah*. In addition, the Prophet allowed this companion to write down everything the Prophet said (see Ḥadīth Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal No. 3.646).<sup>67</sup>

It has been recorded in Islamic history that the Prophet ordered his companions to write down Hadīths at the time after the conquest of the city of Mecca. The event occurred when the Prophet gave a sermon after the conquest of the city and one of his companions from Yemen, Abū Shah, who was told to write down the Prophet's sermon. The Prophet then ordered his companions to write down the sermon (the prophetic Hadīth) for Abū Shah. Many companions were wondered what to write, and therefore one of the companions gave an explanation by writing the Prophet's sermon.<sup>68</sup> This is in accordance with the Hadīth:

حَدَّثَنِي أَبُو هُرَيْرَةَ، قَالَ: فَقَامَ أَبُو شَاءٍ - رَجُلٌ مِنْ أَهْلِ اليَمَنِ - فَقَالَ: اكْتُبُوا لِي يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ، فَقَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ: «اكْتُبُوا لِأَبِي شَاهٍ» ، قُلْتُ لِلْأَوْزَاعِيِّ: مَا قَوْلُهُ اكْتُبُوا لِي يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ؟ قَالَ: هَذِهِ الخُطْبَةَ الَّتِي سَمِعَهَا مِنْ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ

"Abū Hurayrah said; Then stood up Abū Shah, a resident of Yemen and said: 'Hi Messenger of Allah, write for me?' Rasulullah said: 'Write for Abū Shah.' Said, al-Walīd b. Muslim; I asked al-Awza'ī: 'What did he mean by asking me to write it down, hi Messenger of Allah?' He said: 'The contents of the sermon he heard from the Messenger of Allah.'"<sup>69</sup>

Abū Shah was a Persian descendant who lived in Yemen, thus his capacity of memorization was not as strong as the Arabs.<sup>70</sup> This evidence indicates that the writing culture was not from the Arabs because they mostly relied on memorization. The Hadīth is a substitute for the new law, because the Hadīth that prohibited the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> al-Sibā'ī, al-Sunnah wa Makānatuhā, 76-78.

<sup>68</sup> Ibid., 76-78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Muḥammad b. Ismā'il Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Bukhārī al-Ju'fī, al-Jāmi' al-Musnad al-Ṣaḥīḥ al-Mukhtaṣar min Umūr Rasūl wa Sunanih wa Ayyāmih (Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhāri), Vol. 3, No. 2.434 (N.p.: Dār Ṭauq al-Najāh, 1422), 125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Abū al-Fadl Ahmad b. 'Alī b. Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Ḥajar al-'Asqalānī, *al-Isābah fī Tamyīz al-Ṣahābah*, Vol. 7 (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1415), 171.

writing of the Qur'ān has been abrogated (mansūkh). Therefore, it is then permitted to write Hadīth as 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib did with his saḥifah. It was also the case with the inscription of 'Amr b. Hazm about farā'iḍ (inheritance law), sunan al-Nabī (Sunnah of the prophet), diyāt and also about Abū Bakr. The prohibition of writing the Qur'ān in early Islam is intended to avoid mixing the revealed Qur'ān with Hadīth of the Prophet. However, when examined historically it is relevant to mention that the Hadīth about the prohibition of writing other than the Qur'ān was the Hadīth of the Prophet in Makkah, while the Hadīth that permitted the writing of Hadīth was the Hadīth of Madinah, as indicated by the time of the event when the Prophet said the Hadīth.<sup>71</sup>

The mistake made by Shaḥrūr was to equate the conditions during the early days of Islam with the conditions when Islam already developed, as well as the current existing writing techniques, and the patterns, behavior and characteristics of the Arabs in the past and in the present. It is very clear that the Arabs in the past was characterized by their reliance on their memorization, whereas most people today rely upon their writing capacity.

The fourth interpretation of the verses of the Qur'ān carried out by Shaḥrūr is that the Sunnah changes and transforms, since the Qur'ān never explains the eternity of the Sunnah. However, the statement that the Sunnah is tentative is not justified by the Qur'ā n.

With regard to al-Anfāl [8]: 38, this verse is often considered as threatening the unbelievers to stop their infidelity. Shaḥrūr has mistaken to mean the word "madat." This word comes from the word madā-yamdī which means khalā (go through/pass),<sup>72</sup> dhahaba (go), ibta'ada (disappear), nafadha (complete, perform, implement), istamarra (continue, forward, skip, repeat).<sup>73</sup> However, Shaḥrūr defines the word "madat" as has been missed or has expired and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Abū Zakariyā Maḥy al-Dīn Yaḥyā b. Sharf al-Nawawī, *al-Manhāj Sharḥ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj*, Vol. 9 (Beirut: Dār Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-'Arabī, 1392), 129-130; and ibid., Vol. 18, 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Majid al-Dīn Abū Ţāhir Muḥammad b. Ya'qūb al-Fayrūzī Ābādī, al-Qāmūs al-Muḥit, Vol. 1 (Beirut: Mu'assis al-RisĀlah, 1426 H), 1335.
<sup>73</sup> Hamar, Mu'am al Lunhah, Vol. 3, 2106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> 'Umar, *Mu'jam al-Lughah*, Vol. 3, 2106.

been replaced with a new one. Lexically, the word "*madat*" has several meanings and one of the meanings is "has passed," but it refers to something like what God did to the unbelievers in the past. It can be maintained that the word *madat* in this verse can be meant as having passed or has done, while the meaning of the word *istamarra* is continuing and repeating. This verse contains a threat from Allah to the unbelievers if they return to their disbelief, so that Allah will repeat what He has done, that is, destroying the unbelievers.<sup>74</sup>

The misinterpretation made by Shahrūr also occurs in the meaning of the word "khalat." This word comes from the word khalā-vakhlū which means madā (go/pass), dhahaba (go), taqaddama (pass).75 According to Shahrūr, the word "khalat" means pass or has been missed or has expired and was replaced with a new one. Lexically, the word "khalat" does have several meanings, and one of the meanings is "has passed" but it refers to something like what God has done (destroyed) to the former people who were unbelievers, and does not mean it "has passed" then being replaced with a new one. The meaning given by Shahrūr is not correct, because he takes the verse literally, deviating from the meaning, and even uses the meaning of a synonym that is contradictory to his concept (namely anti-synonymity). The word "madat" and "khalat" have similar meaning: i.e., have passed (have been done or performed by Allah) and will continue or repeat. Therefore, it cannot be interpreted literally.<sup>76</sup>

From these two verses, it is clear that Shahrūr has misinterpreted and misconstrued the meaning and intention of the Qur'ānic verses by interpreting them literally and by changing the interpretation that distorts the definition of the Sunnah which is considered as tentative and changing all the time, even though the Sunnah does not change every time.

To a certain degree, Shaḥrūr's concept of Ḥadīth or Sunnah can be regarded as representing the tendency towards *inkar al-*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Wahbah b. Mustafā al-Zuḥaylī, *al-Tafsīr al-Munīr fī al-'Aqīdah wa al-Sharī'ah wa al-Manhaj (al-Tafsīr al-Munīr li al-Zuḥaylī*), Vol. 9 (Damaskus: Dār al-Fikr, 1422), 321.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> 'Umar, *Mu'jam al-Lughah*, Vol 3, 691.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Muhammad 'Alī al-Ṣābūnī, *Mukhtaṣar Tafsīr Ibn Kathīr*, Vol. 2 (Beirut: Dār al-Qur'ān al-Karīm, 1402), 308.

*Sunnah* (the denial of the Prophet's traditions). This can be seen from his concept or definition that the Hadīth is the Qur'ān itself; the Hadīth is filled with stories of Isrā'īliyyāt; the Hadīth is a form of the Prophet's *ijtihād*. In additions, his tendency to deny the Sunnah can be seen from and his concept: that Sunnah changes, depending upon a particular social and cultural backgrounds. society and produces certain and civilizations at each stage of its era, which is it is to support that Islam is suitable for each time and place (*sāliḥ li kulli zamān wa makān*), a viewpoint which is not quite valid with regard to the above argument.<sup>77</sup>

# Conclusion

It has been generally agreed that Hadīths is the second source of Islamic law. However, there emerges a scholar with contrasting viewpoints against this orthodox position, namely Muhammad Shahrūr. For some scholars, his criticism against the established view on Hadith and Sunnah represents the tendency towards the denial of the Prophet's tradition (inkar al-Sunnah). Shahrur is a contemporary thinker and researcher who rejects Hadith and Sunnah as the second source of law in Islam. His argument is based on the point of view that the Hadith is the Qur'an itself. Moreover, he states that Hadīths are filled with the story Isrā'iliyyāt (the Biblical stories), accusing the Prophet as ignoring the writing and codification of Hadīths. However, based on critical scrutiny of sources presented above which includes the verses of the Qur'an, the narratives of Hadith, exegesis, historical accounts and linguistic works, this article concludes that Shahrūr's views and thought on the issue of Hadith are not supported with justified arguments and valid reading and understanding towards the meaning of various Qur'ānic verses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Ṣāliḥ al-Dīn Fawzān b. 'Abd Allāh al-Fawzān, *Sharḥ al-Uṣūl al-Thalāthah* (N.p.: Mu'assis al-Risālah, 1427), 272; 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Ḥammād 'Alī 'Umar, *Dīn al-Haq* (Saudi Arabia: Wizārat al-Shu'ūn al-Islāmīyah wa al-Awqāf wa al-Da'wah wa al-Irshād, 1420), 80; Faḥd b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Sulaymān al-Rūmī, *al-Badahiyāt fī al-Qur'ān al-Karīm* (Madinah: al-Jāmi'ah al-Islāmīyah, 1417), 11; Muḥammad b. Ṣāliḥ b. Muḥammad al-'Uthaymīn, *Tafsīr Juz' 'Am* (*Tafsīr al-Uthaymīn*) (Riyadh: Dār al-Tharayā, 1423), 84.

## Bibliography

- Ābādī, Majid al-Dīn Abū Ṭāhir Muḥammad b. Ya'qūb al-Fayrūzī. al-Qāmūs al-Muḥīt, Vol. 1. Beirut: Mu'assis al-RisĀlah, 1426 H
- Abādī, Muḥammad Ashraf b. 'Alī b. Amīr b. 'Alī Ibn Ḥaydar Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān. 'Awn al-Ma'būd Sharḥ Sunan Abī Dāwūd wa Ma'ahū Ḥāshiyat Ibn al-Qayyim: Tahdhīb Sunan Abī Dāwūd, Vol. 10. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1415.
- Abidin, Zainal. Rethinking Islam dan Iman. Banjarmasin: IAIN Antasari Press, n.d.
- 'Arabī (al), Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh Abū Bakr. *Aḥkām al-Qu'rān*, Vol. 2. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1424/2003.
- 'Asqalānī (al), Abū al-Faḍl Aḥmad b. 'Alī b. Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Ḥajar. *al-Iṣābah fī Tamyīz al-Ṣahābah*, Vol. 7. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1415.
- -----. Fath al-Bārī Sharh Ṣahīh al-Bukhārī, Vol. 5. Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, 1379.
- 'Azat, Darūzat Muḥammad. *al-Tafsīr al-Ḥadīth*, Vol. 2. Kairo: Dār al-Kutub al-'Arabīyah, 1383.
- Baghdādī (al), al-Khāṭīb. *al-Kifāyah fī 1lm al-Rimāyah*. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-11mīyah, 2006.
- Balakhī (al), Abū al-Hasan Maqātil b. Sulaymān b. Bashīr al-Azadī. Tafsīr Maqātil b. Sulaymān, Vol. 2. Beirut: Dār Ihyā' al-Turāth, 1423.
- Bașrī (al), Qatādah b. Di'āmah b. Qatādah b. 'Azīz Abū al-Khaṭṭāb al-Sudūsī. *al-Nāsih wa al-Mansūkh*. N.p.: Mu'assisat al-Risālah, 1418.
- Başrī (al), Abū Sulaymān Hamad b. Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm b. al-Khaṭṭāb. Maʿālim al-Sunan Sharḥ Sunan Abī Dāwud, Vol. 4. Halb: al-Maṭbaʿah al-ʿIlmīyah, 1351.
- Clark, Peter. "The Shahrur Phenomenon; A Liberal Islamic Voice from Syria," *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations*, Vol. 7. No. 3, 1996.
- Dhahabī (al), Shams al-Dīn Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. 'Uthmān b. Qaymār *Mīzān al-I'tidāl fī Naqd al-Rijāl*, Vol. 2. Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, 1382.
- Dhuhbah, Muḥammad b. Muḥammad b. Suwaylim Abū. al-Isrā'ilīyyāt wa al-Mawḍū'āt fī Kutub al-Tafsīr. N.p.: Maktabat al-Sunnah, n.d.

- Dimashqī (al), Abū al-Fidā' Ismā'īl b. 'Umar b. Kathīr al-Qarashī al-Baṣrī al-Dimashqī, *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-'Azīm (Tafsīr Ibn Kathīr*), Vol. 7. N.p.: Dār Țayyibah, 1420.
- Dimashqī (al), Ibrāhīm b. Muḥammad b. Muḥammad Kamāl al-Dīn b. Aḥmad b. Ḥusayn Burhān al-Dīn b. Ḥamzah al-Ḥusaybī. *al-Bayān wa al-Ta'rīf fī Asbāb Wurūd al-Ḥadīth al-Sharīf*, Vol. 2. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Arabī, n.d.
- Fawzān (al), Ṣāliḥ al-Dīn Fawzān b. 'Abd Allāh. *Sharḥ al-Uṣūl al-Thalāthah*. N.p.: Mu'assis al-Risālah, 1427.
- Fitria, Vita. "Komparasi Metodologis Konsep Sunnah Menurut Fazlur Rahman dan Muhammad Syahrur," *Asy-Syir'ah: Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah dan Hukum*, Vol. 45, No. 12, Juli-Desember 2011.
- Habīb, Sa'dī Abū. *al-Qāmūs al-Fiqhīyah Lughah wa Istilāḥ*. Damascus: Dār al-Fikr, 1408.
- Hanīf, 'Abd al-Wadūd Maqbūl. Nuzūl al-Qur'ān wa al-Ināyah Bih fī 'Ahd al-Nabī. Madinah: Majmū' al-Muluk Faḥd, n.d.
- Hawayh, Muhammad 'Umar. Nuzūl al-Qur'ān al-Karīm wa Tārīkhih wa mā Yata'allaqu Bih. Madinah: Majmū' al-Muluk Fahd, n.d.
- Haikāl, Muḥammad Ḥusayn. *Ḥayāt Muḥammad wa Ālih wa Sallam*. N.p.: n.p., n.d.
- Ījī (al), Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥasanī al-Ḥusaynī. *Tafsīr al-Ījī Jāmi' al-Bayān fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān*, Vol. 2. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1424.
- Ismā'il, Muḥammad Bakr. Dirāsāt fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān. N.p.: Dār al-Manār, 1419.
- Ismail, Ahmad Syarqawi. Rekonstruksi Konsep Wahyu Muhammad Syahrur. Yogyakarta: eLSAQ Press, 2003.
- Jarīsī (al), Khālid b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Alī. *Mu'allim al-Tajwīd*. N.p.: n.p., n.d.
- Jawīnī (al), 'Abd al-Mālik b. 'Abd Allāh b. Yūsuf b. Muḥammad *al-Burhān fī Uṣūl al-Fiqh*, Vol. 2. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1418.
- Jawzī (al), Jamāl al-Dīn Abū al-Farj 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. 'Alī b. Muḥammad *al-Muṣfī bi Akfī Ahl al-Rasūkh min 11m al-Nāsikh wa al-Mansūkh*. N.p.: Mu'assisat al-Risālah, 1418.
- Jīzānī (al), Muḥammad b. Ḥusayn b. Ḥasan. Maʿālim Uṣūl al-Fiqh Inda Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamāʿah. N.p..: Dār Ibn al-Jawzī, 1427.

- Ju'fī (al), Muḥammad b. Ismā'īl Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Bukhārī. al-Jāmi' al-Musnad al-Ṣaḥīḥ al-Mukhtaṣar min Umūr Rasūl wa Sunanih wa Ayyāmih (Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhāri), Vol. 3, No. 2.434. N.p.: Dār Ṭauq al-Najāh, 1422.
- Kassī (al), Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Ḥamīd b. Ḥamīd b. Naṣr. al-Muntakhab min Musnad 'Abd b. Ḥamīd, Vol. 1, No. 1156. Kairo: Maktabat al-Sunnah, 1408.
- Khalāf, 'Abd al-Wahhāb. *Ilm Uṣūl al-Fiqh*. Mesir: Maktabat al-Da'wah, n.d.
- Khalīl, al-Sayyid Abū al-Ma'ātī al-Nūrī Ahmad 'Abd al-Razzāq 'Id Mahmūd Muhammad. Mawsū'at Aqwāl al-Imām Ahmad b. Hanbal fī Rijāl al-Hadīth wa Ilalihī, Vol. 1. N.p.: 'Ālim al-Kutub, 1417.
- Khaṭtāṭ (al). Muḥammad Ṭāhir b. 'Abd al-Qādir al-Kurdī al-Makkī al-Shāfi'ī. *Tārīkh al-Qur'ān al-Karīm*. Ḥijāz: Maṭba'at al-Fatḥ bi Jiddah, 1365.
- Kurdi et al. Hermenetika al-Qur'an dan Hadis. Yogyakarta: Elsaq Press, 2010.
- Ma'lūf (al), Louwis b. Naqula Zāhir. *al-Munjid fī al-Lughah*. Beirut: al-Maṭba'ah al-Kāthulīkīyah, 2010.
- Mālikī (al), Abū Muḥammad Makkī b. Abī Ṭālib Ḥammūsh b. Muḥammad b. Mukhtār al-Qaysī al-Qīrāwānī al-Andalūsī al-Qurṭubī. al-Hidāyah ilā Bulūgh al-Nihāyah fī Ilm Ma'ānī al-Qur'ān wa Tafsīrih wa Aḥkāmih wa Jumal min Funūn 'Ulūmih, Vo. 11. N.p.: Majmū' Buḥūth al-Kitāb wa al-Sunnah, 1429.
- Marāghī (al), Aḥmad b. Muṣṭafā. *Tafsīr al-Marāghī*, Vol. 28. Mesir: Shirkat Maktabat Muṣṭafā al-Bābī al-Ḥalbī, 1365.
- Maț'anī (al), 'Abd al-'Azīm Ibrāhīm Muḥammad. al-Shubhāt al-Thalāthūn al-Muthārah li Inkār al-Sunnah al-Nabawīyah 'Arḍ wa Tafnīd wa Naqş. N.p.: Maktabat Wahbah, 1420.
- Māwardī (al), Abū al-Ḥasan 'Alī b. Muḥammad b. Muḥammad b. Ḥabīb al-Baṣrī al-Baghdādī. *Tafsīr al-Māwardī: al-Nukat wa al-*'*Uyūn*, Vol. 3. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, n.d.
- Muḥāsibī (al), Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥārith b. Asad. *Fahm al-Qur'ān wa Ma'ānīhi*. Beirut: Dār al-Kindī, 1398.
- Muqrī (al), Abū al-Qāsim b. Salāmah b. Naṣr b. 'Alī al-Baghdādī. al-Nāsikh wa al-Mansūkh. Beirut: al-Maktabat al-Islāmī, 1404.

- Mustaqim, Abdul. *Epistemologi Tafsir Kontemporer*. Yogyakarta: LKiS, 2010.
- Muțtalib (al), Rif'at b. Fawzī 'Abd. *Tawthīq al-Sunnah fī al-Qarn al-Thānī al-Hijrah Asāsahū wa Ittijāhātihī*. Mesir: Maktabat al-Khanā Najī, n.d.
- Nawawī (al), Abū Zakariyā Maḥy al-Dīn Yaḥyā b. Sharf. *al-Manhāj* Sharḥ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj, Vol. 9. Beirut: Dār Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-'Arabī, 1392.
- Qāsimī (al), Muḥammad Jamāl al-Dīn b. Muḥammad Saʿīd b. Qādim al-Ḥalāq, *Qawāʿid al-Taḥdīth min Funūn Muṣṭalaḥ al-Ḥadīth*. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmīyah, n.d.
- Qațțān (al), Mannā' b. Khalīl. *Mabāḥith fī 'Ulūm al-Qu'rān*. Beirut: Maktabat al-Ma'ārif, 1421/2000.
- Qurtubī (al), Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Abī Bakr b. Farj al-Anṣārī al-Khazrazī Shams al-Dīn. al-Jāmi' li Aḥkām al-Qur'ān (Tafsīr al-Qurṭubī), Vol 13. Kairo: Dār al-Kutub al-Miṣrīyah, 1384.
- Raḥmānī (al), Abū al-Ḥasan 'Ubayd Allāh b. Muḥammad 'Abd al-Salām b. Khān Muḥammad b. Amān Allāh b. Hishām al-Dīn. Muru'āh al-Mafātāḥ Sharḥ Mishkāt al-Maṣābāḥ, Vol. 1. Benares India: Idārat al-Buḥūth al-'Ilmīyah wa al-Da'wah wa al-Iftā', 1404.
- Rāzī (al), Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad b. Idrīs b. al-Mundhīr al-Tamīmī b. Abī Hātim. *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-'Azīm li Ibn Abī Hātim*, Vol. 10. Saudi Arabia: Maktabat Nazār Muṣṭafā al-Bāz, 1419.
- Rūmī (al), Faḥd b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Sulaymān. *al-Badahiyāt fī al-Qur'ān al-Karīm*. Madinah: al-Jāmi'ah al-Islāmīyah, 1417.
- -----. Dirāsāt fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān al-Karīm. N.p.: Huqūq al-Ṭab' Mahfūzah, 1424.
- Ṣābūnī (al), Muḥammad 'Alī. Mukhtaṣar Tafsīr Ibn Kathīr, Vol. 2. Beirut: Dār al-Qur'ān al-Karīm, 1402.
- Ṣanʿānī (al), Abū Bakr 'Abd al-Razzāq b. Himām b. Nāfiq al-Humayrī al-Yamānī. al-Muşannaf 'Abd al-Razzāq al-Ṣanʿānī, Vol. 6. Beirut: al-Maktab al-Islāmī, 1403.
- Sawwāf (al), Muḥammad Munīr. *Tahāfut al-Qirā'ah Mu'āṣirah*. Limmasol-Cyprus: al-Shawwāf li al-Nashr wa al-Dirāsāt, 1993.

- Salamī (al), Zayn al-Dīn 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Aḥmad b. Rajab b. al-Hasan. Sharḥ Ila al-Tirmidhī. Yordania: Maktabat al-Manār, 1407.
- Shāfi'ī (al), Abū 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. Idrīs b. al-'Abbās b. 'Uthmān b. Shāfi'ī b. 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib b. 'Abd Manāf al-Qurayshī. *Tafsīr al-Imām al-Shāfi'ī*, Vol. 3. Saudi Arabīyah: Dār al-Tadmīrīyah, 1426.
- Shāfi'ī (al), Abū Muḥammad al-Ḥusayn b. Mas'ūd b. Muḥammad b. al-Farā' al-Baghawī. Ma'ālim al-Tanzīl fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān (Tafsīr al-Baghawi), Vol. 5. Beirut: Dār Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-'Arabī, 1420 H.
- Shah, M. Awnul Abid. Islam Garda Depan; Mozaik Pemikiran Islam Timur Tengah. Bandung: Mizan 2001.
- Shaḥrūr, Muḥammad. al-Sunnah al-Rasūlīyah wa al-Sunnah al-Nabamīyah. Beirut: Dār al-Sāqī, 2012.
- -----. Islam dan Iman: Aturan-aturan Pokok, translated by M. Zaid Su'di. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Jendela, 2002.
- -----. *Metodologi Fiqih Islam Kontemporer*, terj. Sahiron Syamsuddin. Yogyakarta: Kalimera, 2015.
- -----. Prinsip dan Dasar Hermeneutika al-Qur'an Kontemporer. Yogyakarta: eLSAQ Prees, 2008.
- -----. Epistimologi Qur'ani: Tafsir Kontemporer Ayat-ayat al-Qur'ān Berbasis Materialisme-Dialektika-Historis, translated by M. Firdaus. Bandung: Penerbit Marja, 2015.
- Shaybānī (al), Abū 'Abd Allāh Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. Hanbal b. Hilāl b. Asad. Musnad al-Imām Aḥmad b. Hanbal, Vol. 22, No. 14631. N.p.: Mu'assis al-Risālah, 1421.
- Sijistānī (al), Abū Dāwūd Sulaymān b. al-Ash'ath b. Ishāq b. Bashīr b. Shadād b. 'Amr al-Azadī. Sunan Abī Dāwūd, Vol. 3, No. 3646. Beirut: al-Maktabat al-'Aşrīyah, n.d.
- Țabarī (al), Muḥammad b. Jarīr b. Yazīd b. Kathīr b. Ghālib al-Amalī Abū Ja'far. Jāmi' al-Bayān fī Ta'mīl al-Qurān (Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī), Vol. 23. N.p.: Mu'assis al-Risālah, 1420.
- Tirmīdhī (al), Muḥammad b. 'Īsā b. Sawrah b. Mūsā b. al-Daḥāk Abū 'Īsā. *Sunan al-Tirmidhī*, Vol 5, No. 3331. Mesir: Muṣṭafā al-Bābī al-Ḥalbī, 1395.
- Tustarī (al), Abū Muḥammad Sahl b. 'Abd Allāh. Yūnus b. Rafī'. *Tafsīr al-Tustarī*, Vol. 1. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1423.

- 'Umar, 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Ḥammād 'Alī. *Dīn al-Ḥaq.* Saudi Arabia: Wizārat al-Shu'ūn al-Islāmīyah wa al-Awqāf wa al-Da'wah wa al-Irshād, 1420.
- 'Umar, Ahmad Mukhtār 'Abd al-Hamīd. *Mu'jam al-Lughah al-*'*Arabīyah al-Mu'āṣirah*, Vol. 1. N.p.: 'Ālim al-Kutub, 1429.
- 'Uthaymin (al), Muḥammad b. Ṣāliḥ b. Muḥammad *Muṣṭalaḥ al-Ḥadīth*. Kairo: Maktabat al-Ilm, 1415.
- -----. Tafsīr Juz' 'Am (Tafsīr al-'Uthaymīn). Riyadh: Dār al-Tharayā, 1423.
- Yamānī (al), Muḥammad b. 'Alī b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Shawkānī. *Fatḥ al-Qadīr*, Vol. 5. Beirut: Dār Ibn Kathīr, 1414.
- Yamanī (al), Nashwān b. Sa'īd al-Hamīrī. Shams al-'Ulūm wa Dawa' Kalām al-'Arah min al-Kalūm, Vol. 3. Beirut: Dār al-Fikr al-Ma'āşir, 1420.
- Zāhirī (al), Abū Muḥammad 'Alī b. Aḥmad b. Sa'īd b. Ḥazm al-Andalusī al-Qurṭubī. al-Nāsikh wa al-Mansūkh fī al-Qur'ān al-Karīm. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1406.
- Zahw, Muḥammad Abū. *al-Ḥadīth wa al-Muḥaddithūn*. Kairo: Dār al-Fikr al-'Arabī, 1378.
- Zajāj (al), Ibrāhīm b. al-Sarī b. Sahl Abū Ishāq. *Maʿānī al-Qurʾān wa Iʿrābih*, Vol. 5. Beirut: 'Alim al-Kutub, 1408.
- Zarkashī (al), Abū 'Abd Allāh Badr al-Dīn Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. Buhādir. *al-Burhān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān*, Vol. 1. Beirut: Dār Iḥyā' al-Kutub al-'Arabīyah, 1376.
- Zuḥaylī (al), Wahbah b. Muṣṭafā. *al-Tafsīr al-Munīr fī al-'Aqīdah wa al-Sharī'ah wa al-Manhaj (al-Tafsīr al-Munīr li al-Zuḥayli*), Vol. 9. Damaskus: Dār al-Fikr, 1422.
- Zurqānī (al), Muḥamamd 'Abd al-'Azīm. Manāhil al-Irfān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān, Vol. 1. N.p.: Maṭba' 'Īsā al-Bānī al-Ḥilmī, n.d.